# FACT SHEET FOR NPDES PERMIT WA-003203-4

FACILITY NAME: WESTFARM FOODS - ISSAQUAH

GENERAL INFORMATION					
Applicant	WestFarm Foods (a.k.a. Darigold, Inc.)				
Facility Name and Address	WestFarm Foods 611 N. Front Street Issaquah, WA 98027				
	King County				
Facility Contact	Mr. David Zwart, Plant Manager 425-557-4514				
	Mr. David Giberson, Plant Engineer				
Corporate Contact	Mr. Joe Muller, Director of Regulatory Compliance 206-286-6772				
Type of Facility	Dairy Product Manufacturing				
SIC Code	2021, 2023, 2026				
Discharge Location	Waterbody Name: East Fork Issaquah Creek Class A Waters Latitude: 47° 32' 10" N Longitude: 122° 02' 10" W				
Water Body ID Number	WA-08-1112				

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	4
DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY	
History	
Industrial Process	
Discharge Outfall	
PERMIT STATUS	
SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT	
WASTEWATER CHARACTERIZATION	
SEPA COMPLIANCE	6
PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITATIONS	6
TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	7
SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	
Numerical Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life	7
Numerical Criteria for the Protection of Human Health	7
Narrative Criteria	8
Antidegradation	8
Critical Conditions	8
Mixing Zones	
Description of the Receiving Water	
Surface Water Quality Criteria	
Human Health	
Sediment Quality	9
GROUND WATER QUALITY LIMITATIONS	10
COMPARISON OF EFFLUENT LIMITS WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT	
ISSUED JULY 10, 1997	10
MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	10
OTHER PERMIT CONDITIONS	10
REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING	10
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	10
NONROUTINE AND UNANTICIPATED DISCHARGES	11
SPILL PLAN	
RECEIVING WATER AND EFFLUENT STUDY OF pH	11
GENERAL CONDITIONS	11
PERMIT ISSUANCE PROCEDURES	11
PERMIT MODIFICATIONS	
RECOMMENDATION FOR PERMIT ISSUANCE	12
REFERENCES FOR TEXT AND APPENDICES	13
APPENDIX A—PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INFORMATION	14
APPENDIX B—GLOSSARY	15
APPENDIX C—RESPONSE TO COMMENTS	

#### INTRODUCTION

The Federal Clean Water Act (FCWA, 1972, and later modifications, 1977, 1981, and 1987) established water quality goals for the navigable (surface) waters of the United States. One of the mechanisms for achieving the goals of the Clean Water Act is the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System of permits (NPDES permits), which is administered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The EPA has authorized the State of Washington to administer the NPDES permit program. Chapter 90.48 RCW defines the Department of Ecology's authority and obligations in administering the wastewater discharge permit program.

The regulations adopted by the state include procedures for issuing permits (Chapter 173-220 WAC), water quality criteria for surface and ground waters (Chapters 173-201A and 200 WAC), and sediment management standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC). These regulations require that a permit be issued before discharge of wastewater to waters of the state is allowed. The regulations also establish the basis for effluent limitations and other requirements which are to be included in the permit. One of the requirements (WAC 173-220-060) for issuing a permit under the NPDES permit program is the preparation of a draft permit and an accompanying fact sheet. Public notice of the availability of the draft permit is required at least thirty (30) days before the permit is issued (WAC 173-220-050). The fact sheet and draft permit are available for review (see Appendix A—Public Involvement of the fact sheet for more detail on the public notice procedures).

The fact sheet and draft permit have been reviewed by the Permittee. Errors and omissions identified in this review have been corrected before going to public notice. After the public comment period has closed, the Department will summarize the substantive comments and the response to each comment. The summary and response to comments will become part of the file on the permit and parties submitting comments will receive a copy of the Department's response. Comments and the resultant changes to the permit will be summarized in <u>Appendix C—Response</u> to Comments.

## **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY

#### HISTORY

The WestFarm facility in Issaquah originally began operation as a condensary in the 1930's. Over the years, the operation has expanded and today receives over 6 million pounds of milk per month and 24 million pounds of cream per month; and produces about 400,000 pounds of butter per day; 1.2 million pounds of cottage cheese, 800,000 pounds of sour cream, and 900,000 pounds of yogurt per month.

This facility had an NPDES permit issued by the Department of Ecology from July 1997 until its cancellation October 29, 1999. The permit authorized and conditioned the discharge of noncontact cooling water to the East Fork of Issaquah Creek. The permit was cancelled because Darigold and Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) worked together to build a pipeline and pump the noncontact cooling water to the Issaquah Salmon Hatchery for use as incubation and rearing water. This water, once used, was discharged to the mainstem of Issaquah Creek from the hatchery.

The noncontact cooling water has been pumped to the Issaquah Hatchery since mid-1999. The hatchery doesn't need the water year-round and pumping costs are high. The proposal is to return the cooling water to the East Fork of Issaquah Creek at WestFarm Foods when it is not needed at the hatchery. Water is usually pumped to the hatchery between September and February.

### INDUSTRIAL PROCESS

WestFarm Food's (WFF) – Issaquah plant receives raw milk from dairy farms and cream from other dairy plants. The raw milk and cream are processed into cottage cheese, yogurt, sour cream, butter, and bulk condensed milk.

WestFarm Foods – Issaquah maintains a King County Metro wastewater discharge pretreatment permit (number 7075-01) that authorizes the discharge of up to 300,000 gallons per day of clean-up and flushing waters to the sanitary sewer. The pH of this waste stream is adjusted in a treatment tank prior to release to the sanitary sewer.

Noncontact cooling water is used in several pasteurizing units throughout the WestFarm Foods plant. The pasteurizers are plate heat exchangers that utilize well water and operate on a single pass system. Temperature is continuously monitored prior to discharge to the East Fork of Issaquah Creek. Under the current operational scheme, if the discharge temperature in the outside sump approaches the permit limit of 65 degrees F, well water is automatically added directly to the sump to bring the noncontact cooling water temperature down below the limitation prior to discharge. The discharge temperature is usually between 60-62 degrees F. The well water is consistently 53-55 degrees F.

### DISCHARGE OUTFALL

The noncontact cooling water is discharged to a sump on the west side of the building. The discharge enters the East Fork of Issaquah Creek through a 10-foot, 12-inch diameter slotted diffuser pipe located in the rocks along the east bank of the creek about 15 feet west of the building.

#### PERMIT STATUS

The first permit for this facility was issued on February 12, 1983. That permit placed effluent limitations on flow, temperature, and turbidity. The permit expired on February 12, 1988, and was administratively extended. The most recent permit was issued on July 10, 1997, and placed limits on flow, temperature and pH, and required monitoring for phosphorous because the ultimate receiving water (Lake Sammamish) is phosphorous-limited. The permit was cancelled on October 29, 1999, when the noncontact cooling water was transferred to the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife Issaquah Hatchery for use in hatching and rearing salmon.

An application for permit renewal was submitted to the Department on July 23, 2003, and accepted by the Department on March 1, 2004.

### SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT

The facility last received an industrial permit compliance inspection on February 6, 1997. An inspection of the noncontact cooling water system and discharge and permit meeting was held on June 14, 2004.

During the history of the previous permit, the Permittee has remained in compliance based on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) submitted to the Department and inspections conducted by the Department.

Darigold received a Notice of Violation resulting from a September 25, 1995, temperature violation that occurred during an inspection. Darigold responded promptly and made system modifications to ensure that similar violations do not occur.

An enforcement action was taken because of a July 30, 1995, heavy cream spill. The sanitary sewer drain backed up, overflowing to a storm drain and eventually reaching the East Fork of Issaquah Creek. This resulted in Darigold installing additional containment berms and spill protection.

## WASTEWATER CHARACTERIZATION

The proposed noncontact cooling water discharge is characterized for the following regulated parameters, based on past DMR data:

**Table 1: Noncontact Cooling Water Characterization** 

Taken from DMR data, August 1997 to September 1999

Parameter	Concentration / Range		
Flow	290,000 – 300,000 gpd		
Temperature – effluent	56 – 64 degrees F		
рН	6.09 - 7.17 standard units		
Phosphorous	0.01 – 0.27 mg/L		

Phosphorous monitoring was required because the receiving water, the East Fork of Issaquah Creek, discharges into Lake Sammamish, which is phosphorous-limited. The environmental impact of the small phosphorous loading is negligible. Therefore, a phosphorous limit is not warranted and monitoring is not recommended in the proposed permit.

#### SEPA COMPLIANCE

This facility was in existence prior to the proposed reissuance of this permit. Therefore, completion of a SEPA checklist is not required for permit renewal.

#### PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITATIONS

Federal and State regulations require that effluent limitations set forth in an NPDES permit must be either technology- or water quality-based. Technology-based limitations are based upon the treatment methods available to treat specific pollutants. Technology-based limitations are set by regulation or developed on a case-by-case basis (40 CFR 125.3, and Chapter 173-220 WAC). Water quality-based limitations are based upon compliance with the surface water quality standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC), ground water standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC), sediment quality standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC) or the National Toxics Rule (Federal Register, Volume 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992). The more stringent of these two limits must be chosen for each of the parameters of concern. Each of these types of limits is described in more detail below.

The limits in this permit are based in part on information received in the application. The effluent constituents in the application were evaluated on a technology- and water quality-basis. The limits necessary to meet the rules and regulations of the State of Washington were determined and included in this permit. Ecology does not develop effluent limits for all pollutants that may be reported on the application as present in the effluent. Some pollutants are not treatable at the concentrations reported, are not controllable at the source, are not listed in regulation, and do not have a reasonable potential to cause a water quality violation. Effluent

limits are not always developed for pollutants that may be in the discharge but not reported as present in the application. In those circumstances, the permit does not authorize discharge of the non-reported pollutants. Effluent discharge conditions may change from the conditions reported in the permit application. If significant changes occur in any constituent, as described in 40 CFR 122.42(a), the Permittee is required to notify the Department of Ecology. The Permittee may be in violation of the permit until the permit is modified to reflect additional discharge of pollutants.

## TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

All waste discharge permits issued by the Department must specify conditions requiring available and reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment of discharges to waters of the state (WAC 173-216-110). There are no existing federal categorical limitations for the noncontact cooling water discharge from this facility.

<u>Flow</u> – The effluent limitation for flow is based on the Permittee's past performance and the requested flow allowance in the permit application.

## SURFACE WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

In order to protect existing water quality and preserve the designated beneficial uses of Washington's surface waters, WAC 173-201A-060 states that waste discharge permits shall be conditioned such that the discharge will meet established surface water quality standards. The Washington State surface water quality standards (Chapter 173-201A WAC) is a state regulation designed to protect the beneficial uses of the surface waters of the state. Surface water quality-based effluent limitations may be based on an individual waste load allocation (WLA) or on a WLA developed during a basin wide total maximum daily loading study (TMDL).

The temperature and pH limitations are based on the surface water quality standards. The pH of the well water and the receiving water is very close to the 6.5 minimum pH standard in the water quality standards, therefore, a 0.5 variance has been incorporated into the permit limitations until the completion of a receiving water study. The data will be evaluated at that time and the interim permit limits will be finalized or the permit modified if indicated.

## NUMERICAL CRITERIA FOR THE PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE

"Numerical" water quality criteria are numerical values set forth in the State of Washington's water quality standards for surface waters (Chapter 173-201A WAC). They specify the levels of pollutants allowed in a receiving water while remaining protective of aquatic life. Numerical criteria set forth in the water quality standards are used along with chemical information in the application for the waste water and receiving water to derive the effluent limits in the discharge permit. When surface water quality-based limits are more stringent or potentially more stringent than technology-based limitations, they must be used in a permit.

#### NUMERICAL CRITERIA FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN HEALTH

The U.S. EPA has promulgated 91 numeric water quality criteria for the protection of human health that are applicable to Washington State (EPA 1992). These criteria are designed to protect humans from cancer and other disease and are primarily applicable to fish and shellfish consumption and drinking water from surface waters.

### NARRATIVE CRITERIA

In addition to numerical criteria, "narrative" water quality criteria (WAC 173-201A-030) limit toxic, radioactive, or deleterious material concentrations below those which have the potential to adversely affect characteristic water uses, cause acute or chronic toxicity to biota, impair aesthetic values, or adversely affect human health. Narrative criteria protect the specific beneficial uses of all fresh (WAC 173-201A-130) and marine (WAC 173-201A-140) waters in the State of Washington.

## **ANTIDEGRADATION**

The State of Washington's Antidegradation Policy requires that discharges into a receiving water shall not further degrade the existing water quality of the water body. In cases where the natural conditions of a receiving water are of lower quality than the criteria assigned, the natural conditions shall constitute the water quality criteria. Similarly, when the natural conditions of a receiving water are of higher quality than the criteria assigned, the natural conditions shall be protected. More information on the State Antidegradation Policy can be obtained by referring to WAC 173-201A-070.

The Department has reviewed existing records and is unable to determine if ambient water quality is either higher or lower than the designated classification criteria given in Chapter 173-201A WAC; therefore, the Department will use the designated classification criteria for this water body in the proposed permit. The discharges authorized by this proposed permit should not cause a loss of beneficial uses.

#### CRITICAL CONDITIONS

Surface water quality-based limits are derived for the waterbody's critical condition, which represents the receiving water and waste discharge condition with the highest potential for adverse impact on the aquatic biota, human health, and existing or characteristic water body uses. A low flow temperature study was required in the previous permit to assess the need for more stringent temperature limits during low flow periods. The study conclusion was that the net effect of Darigold's discharge on the downstream creek temperature was negligible.

## MIXING ZONES

The water quality standards allow the Department of Ecology to authorize mixing zones around a point of discharge in establishing surface water quality-based effluent limits. Both "acute" and "chronic" mixing zones may be authorized for pollutants that can have a toxic effect on the aquatic environment near the point of discharge. The concentration of pollutants at the boundary of these mixing zones may not exceed the numerical criteria for that type of zone. Mixing zones can only be authorized for discharges that are receiving all known, available and reasonable methods of prevention, control and treatment (AKART) and in accordance with other mixing zone requirements of WAC 173-201A-100.

The National Toxics Rule (EPA, 1992) allows the chronic mixing zone to be used to meet human health criteria.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVING WATER

The facility discharges to the East Fork of Issaquah Creek which is designated as a Class A receiving water in the vicinity of the outfall. No other nearby point source outfalls have been identified. Characteristic uses include the following:

water supply (domestic, industrial, agricultural); stock watering; fish migration; fish rearing, spawning and harvesting; wildlife habitat; primary contact recreation; sport fishing; boating and aesthetic enjoyment; commerce and navigation. Water quality of this class shall meet or exceed the requirements for all or substantially all uses.

## SURFACE WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

Applicable criteria are defined in Chapter 173-201A WAC for aquatic biota. In addition, U.S. EPA has promulgated human health criteria for toxic pollutants (EPA 1992). Criteria for a Class A discharge are summarized below:

Fecal Coliforms	100 organisms/100 mL maximum geometric mean
Dissolved Oxygen	8 mg/L minimum
Temperature	18 degrees Celsius maximum or incremental increases above background
рН	6.5 to 8.5 standard units
Turbidity	less than 5 NTU above background
Toxics	No toxics in toxic amounts (see Appendix C for numeric criteria for toxics of concern for this discharge)

## HUMAN HEALTH

Washington's water quality standards now include 91 numeric health-based criteria that must be considered in NPDES permits. These criteria were promulgated for the state by the U.S. EPA in its National Toxics Rule (Federal Register, Volume 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992).

The Department has determined that the applicant's discharge is unlikely to contain chemicals regulated for human health and does not contain chemicals of concern based on existing data or knowledge. The discharge will be reevaluated for impacts to human health at the next permit reissuance.

## SEDIMENT QUALITY

The Department has promulgated aquatic sediment standards (Chapter 173-204 WAC) to protect aquatic biota and human health. These standards state that the Department may require Permittees to evaluate the potential for the discharge to cause a violation of applicable standards (WAC 173-204-400).

The Department has determined through a review of the discharger characteristics and effluent characteristics that this discharge has no reasonable potential to violate the sediment management standards.

## GROUND WATER QUALITY LIMITATIONS

The Department has promulgated ground water quality standards (Chapter 173-200 WAC) to protect beneficial uses of ground water. Permits issued by the Department shall be conditioned in such a manner so as not to allow violations of those standards (WAC 173-200-100).

This Permittee has no discharge to ground and therefore no limitations are required based on potential effects to ground water.

## COMPARISON OF EFFLUENT LIMITS WITH THE PREVIOUS PERMIT ISSUED JULY 10, 1997

PARAMETER	Existing Limits			PROPOSED LIMITS	
	Monthly Average	Maximum Daily Average	Instantaneous Maximum	Maximum Daily Average	Instantaneous Maximum
Flow (gpd)	320,000	499,000	N/A	499,000	N/A
Temperature (° F)	N/A	N/A	65	65	N/A
pH (standard units)	Between 6.0 – 9.0		N/A	6.0 - 8.5	

## **MONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

Monitoring, recording, and reporting are required (WAC 173-220-210 and 40 CFR 122.41) to verify that the treatment process is functioning correctly and the effluent limitations are being achieved.

The monitoring schedule is detailed in the proposed permit under Condition S2. Specified monitoring frequencies take into account the quantity and variability of the discharge, the treatment method, past compliance, significance of pollutants, and cost of monitoring.

#### OTHER PERMIT CONDITIONS

## REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING

The conditions of S3 are based on the authority to specify any appropriate reporting and recordkeeping requirements to prevent and control waste discharges (WAC 173-220-210).

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

In accordance with state and federal regulations, the Permittee is required to take all reasonable steps to properly operate and maintain the cooling water treatment system [40 CFR 122.41(e)] and WAC 173-220-150 (1)(g). An *Operation and Maintenance Manual* will be prepared and submitted for approval as required. It has been determined that the implementation of the procedures in the Treatment System Operating Plan is a reasonable measure to ensure compliance with the terms and limitations in the permit.

## NONROUTINE AND UNANTICIPATED DISCHARGES

Occasionally, this facility may generate wastewater which is not characterized in their permit application because it is not a routine discharge and was not anticipated at the time of application. These typically are waters used to pressure test storage tanks or fire water systems or leaks from drinking water systems. These are typically clean waste waters but may be contaminated with pollutants. The permit contains an authorization for nonroutine and unanticipated discharges. The permit requires a characterization of these waste waters for pollutants and examination of the opportunities for reuse. Depending on the nature and extent of pollutants in this wastewater and opportunities for reuse, Ecology may authorize a direct discharge via the process wastewater outfall or through a stormwater outfall for clean water, require the wastewater to be placed through the facilities wastewater treatment process or require the water to be reused.

#### SPILL PLAN

The Department has determined that the Permittee stores a quantity of chemicals that have the potential to cause water pollution if accidentally released. The Department has the authority to require the Permittee to develop best management plans to prevent this accidental release under Section 402(a)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA) and RCW 90.48.080.

The Permittee has developed a plan for preventing the accidental release of pollutants to state waters and for minimizing damages if such a spill occurs (originally prepared January 2, 1998). The proposed permit requires the Permittee to update this plan and submit it to the Department.

## RECEIVING WATER AND EFFLUENT STUDY FOR pH

A receiving water and effluent study is required to be completed within the first two years of the permit to determine if the noncontact cooling water discharge has an impact on the surface water quality.

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

General Conditions are based directly on state and federal law and regulations and have been standardized for all individual industrial NPDES permits issued by the Department.

## PERMIT ISSUANCE PROCEDURES

#### PERMIT MODIFICATIONS

The Department may modify this permit to impose numerical limitations, if necessary, to meet water quality standards for surface waters, sediment quality standards, or water quality standards for ground waters, based on new information obtained from sources such as inspections, effluent monitoring, outfall studies, and effluent mixing studies.

The Department may also modify this permit as a result of new or amended state or federal regulations.

## RECOMMENDATION FOR PERMIT ISSUANCE

This proposed permit meets all statutory requirements for authorizing a wastewater discharge, including those limitations and conditions believed necessary to control toxics, protect human health, aquatic life, and the beneficial uses of waters of the state of Washington. The Department proposes that this proposed permit be issued for a term of five (5) years.

## REFERENCES FOR TEXT AND APPENDICES

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

- 1992. National Toxics Rule. Federal Register, V. 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992.
- 1991. <u>Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control</u>. EPA/505/2-90-001.
- 1988. <u>Technical Guidance on Supplementary Stream Design Conditions for Steady State</u> Modeling. USEPA Office of Water, Washington, D.C.
- 1985. Water Quality Assessment: A Screening Procedure for Toxic and Conventional Pollutants in Surface and Ground Water. EPA/600/6-85/002a.
- 1983. Water Quality Standards Handbook. USEPA Office of Water, Washington, D.C.

Tsivoglou, E.C., and J.R. Wallace.

1972. <u>Characterization of Stream Reaeration Capacity</u>. EPA-R3-72-012. (Cited in EPA 1985 op.cit.)

Washington State Department of Ecology.

1994. Permit Writer's Manual. Publication Number 92-109

Washington State Department of Ecology.

Laws and Regulations (http://www.ecy.wa.gov/laws-rules/index.html)

Permit and Wastewater Related Information (http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/wastewater/index.html)

#### APPENDIX A—PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INFORMATION

The Department has tentatively determined to issue a permit to WestFarm Foods – Issaquah. The permit contains conditions and effluent limitations which are described in the rest of this fact sheet.

The Department concurrently published a Public Notice of Application (PNOA) and Draft (PNOD) on July 8 and July 15, 2004, in the *King County Journal* to inform the public that a draft permit and fact sheet were available for review. Interested persons were invited to submit written comments regarding the draft permit. The draft permit, fact sheet, and related documents were available for inspection and copying between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. weekdays, by appointment, at the regional office listed below. Written comments were mailed to:

Water Quality Permit Coordinator Department of Ecology Northwest Regional Office 3190 – 160<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE Bellevue, WA 98008-5452

Any interested party may comment on the draft permit or request a public hearing on this draft permit within the thirty (30)-day comment period to the address above. The request for a hearing shall indicate the interest of the party and reasons why the hearing is warranted. The Department will hold a hearing if it determines there is a significant public interest in the draft permit (WAC 173-220-090). Public notice regarding any hearing will be circulated at least thirty (30) days in advance of the hearing. People expressing an interest in this permit will be mailed an individual notice of hearing (WAC 173-220-100).

Comments should reference specific text followed by proposed modification or concern when possible. Comments may address technical issues, accuracy and completeness of information, the scope of the facility's proposed coverage, adequacy of environmental protection, permit conditions, or any other concern that would result from issuance of this permit.

The Department will consider all comments received within thirty (30) days from the date of public notice of draft indicated above, in formulating a final determination to issue, revise, or deny the permit. The Department's response to all significant comments is available upon request and will be mailed directly to people expressing an interest in this permit.

Further information may be obtained from the Department by telephone, 425-649-7201, or by writing to the address listed above.

#### APPENDIX B—GLOSSARY

- **Acute Toxicity**--The lethal effect of a compound on an organism that occurs in a short period of time, usually 48 to 96 hours.
- AKART--An acronym for "all known, available, and reasonable methods of treatment."
- **Ambient Water Quality-**-The existing environmental condition of the water in a receiving water body.
- **Average Monthly Discharge Limitation-**-The average of the measured values obtained over a calendar month's time.
- **Best Management Practices (BMPs)**--Schedules of activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other physical, structural and/or managerial practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the state. BMPs include treatment systems, operating procedures, and practices to control: plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage. BMPs may be further categorized as operational, source control, erosion and sediment control, and treatment BMPs.
- BOD<sub>5</sub>--Determining the Biochemical Oxygen Demand of an effluent is an indirect way of measuring the quantity of organic material present in an effluent that is utilized by bacteria. The BOD<sub>5</sub> is used in modeling to measure the reduction of dissolved oxygen in a receiving water after effluent is discharged. Stress caused by reduced dissolved oxygen levels makes organisms less competitive and less able to sustain their species in the aquatic environment. Although BOD is not a specific compound, it is defined as a conventional pollutant under the federal Clean Water Act.
- **Bypass**--The intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.
- **Chlorine**--Chlorine is used to disinfect wastewaters of pathogens harmful to human health. It is also extremely toxic to aquatic life.
- **Chronic Toxicity**--The effect of a compound on an organism over a relatively long time, often 1/10 of an organism's lifespan or more. Chronic toxicity can measure survival, reproduction or growth rates, or other parameters to measure the toxic effects of a compound or combination of compounds.
- Clean Water Act (CWA)--The Federal Water Pollution Control Act enacted by Public Law 92-500, as amended by Public Laws 95-217, 95-576, 96-483, 97-117; USC 1251 et seq.
- **Compliance Inspection Without Sampling--**A site visit for the purpose of determining the compliance of a facility with the terms and conditions of its permit or with applicable statutes and regulations.
- Compliance Inspection With Sampling--A site visit to accomplish the purpose of a Compliance Inspection Without Sampling and as a minimum, sampling and analysis for all parameters with limits in the permit to ascertain compliance with those limits; and, for municipal facilities, sampling of influent to ascertain compliance with the 85 percent removal requirement. Additional sampling may be conducted.

- Composite Sample--A mixture of grab samples collected at the same sampling point at different times, formed either by continuous sampling or by mixing discrete samples. May be "time-composite" (collected at constant time intervals) or "flow-proportional" (collected either as a constant sample volume at time intervals proportional to stream flow, or collected by increasing the volume of each aliquot as the flow increased while maintaining a constant time interval between the aliquots).
- **Construction Activity**--Clearing, grading, excavation, and any other activity which disturbs the surface of the land. Such activities may include road building; construction of residential houses, office buildings, or industrial buildings; and demolition activity.
- Continuous Monitoring--Uninterrupted, unless otherwise noted in the permit.
- **Critical Condition-**-The time during which the combination of receiving water and waste discharge conditions have the highest potential for causing toxicity in the receiving water environment. This situation usually occurs when the flow within a water body is low, thus, its ability to dilute effluent is reduced.
- **Dilution Factor-**-A measure of the amount of mixing of effluent and receiving water that occurs at the boundary of the mixing zone. Expressed as the inverse of the percent effluent fraction e.g., a dilution factor of 10 means the effluent comprises 10% by volume and the receiving water 90%.
- **Engineering Report**--A document which thoroughly examines the engineering and administrative aspects of a particular domestic or industrial wastewater facility. The report shall contain the appropriate information required in WAC 173-240-060 or 173-240-130.
- **Grab Sample-**-A single sample or measurement taken at a specific time or over as short a period of time as is feasible.
- **Industrial Wastewater**--Water or liquid-carried waste from industrial or commercial processes, as distinct from domestic wastewater. These wastes may result from any process or activity of industry, manufacture, trade or business; from the development of any natural resource; or from animal operations such as feed lots, poultry houses, or dairies. The term includes contaminated storm water and, also, leachate from solid waste facilities.
- **Maximum Daily Discharge Limitation-**-The highest allowable daily discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling. The daily discharge is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.
- **Mixing Zone**--An area that surrounds an effluent discharge within which water quality criteria may be exceeded. The area of the authorized mixing zone is specified in a facility's permit and follows procedures outlined in state regulations (Chapter 173-201A WAC).
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)--The NPDES (Section 402 of the Clean Water Act) is the federal wastewater permitting system for discharges to navigable waters of the United States. Many states, including the state of Washington, have been delegated the authority to issue these permits. NPDES permits issued by Washington State permit writers are joint NPDES/state permits issued under both state and federal laws.

- **pH**--The pH of a liquid measures its acidity or alkalinity. A pH of 7 is defined as neutral, and large variations above or below this value are considered harmful to most aquatic life.
- **Responsible Corporate Officer-**-A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities employing more than 250 persons or have gross annual sales or expenditures exceeding \$25 million (in second quarter 1980 dollars), if authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures (40 CFR 122.22).
- **Technology-based Effluent Limit-**-A permit limit that is based on the ability of a treatment method to reduce the pollutant.
- **State Waters**--Lakes, rivers, ponds, streams, inland waters, underground waters, salt waters, and all other surface waters and watercourses within the jurisdiction of the state of Washington.
- **Stormwater**--That portion of precipitation that does not naturally percolate into the ground or evaporate, but flows via overland flow, interflow, pipes, and other features of a storm water drainage system into a defined surface water body, or a constructed infiltration facility.
- **Upset--**An exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the Permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, lack of preventative maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
- Water Quality-based Effluent Limit--A limit on the concentration of an effluent parameter that is intended to prevent the concentration of that parameter from exceeding its water quality criterion after it is discharged into a receiving water.

## APPENDIX C—RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

No comments were received during the public comment period.